

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	T NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/063,473	04/26/2002	Eddy Benjamin Boskamp	121066	121066 1173		
23413	7590 05/28/2003					
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			VARGAS, DIXOMARA			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2859			
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		10/063,473 BOSK		BOSKAMP, EDDY	KAMP, EDDY BENJAMIN			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
e` (e		Dixomara Varg		2859				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the c ve	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a)□	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		-					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 June 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
\	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
	The translation of the foreign language processions.							
Attachment		-	-•					
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC				
U.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 4				

Application/Control Number: 10/063,473

'Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Drawings

2. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7-11, 13-17, 19-23 and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mehdizadeh et al. (US 5,144,240).

With respect to claims 1, 7, 19 and 25, Mehdizadeh discloses an apparatus for magnetic resonance imaging, comprising (Figure 1): a degenerate birdcage coil (Figure 2, #24) having a pair of opposing rings and a plurality of rungs positioned circumferentially around said pair of

Art Unit: 2859

rings (Figure 2); input excitation circuitry for applying excitation radio frequency (RF) energy to said degenerate birdcage coil at a first resonance mode thereof (Figure 1, #20); and output receiving circuitry for receiving RF energy emitted by an object positioned within said degenerate birdcage coil (Figure 1, #30), said output receiving circuitry receiving said emitted RF energy at a plurality of resonance modes of said degenerate birdcage coil, including said first resonance mode (Column 4, lines 3-18).

- 5. With respect to claims 2, 8, 14, 20 and 26, Mehdizadeh discloses said input excitation circuitry includes one or more phase shifting splitters for sinusoidally applying said excitation RF energy to one or more of said plurality of rungs (Columns 3 and 4, lines 53-68 and 1-2 respectively; Figure 1, #22a and #22b).
- 6. With respect to claims 3, 9, 15, 21 and 28, Mehdizadeh discloses said output receiving circuitry includes one or more combiners for combining said emitted RF energy from one or more of said plurality of rungs (Column 4, lines 3-18; Figure 1, #22a and #22b).
- 7. With respect to claims 4, 10, 16, 22 and 29, Mehdizadeh discloses said one or more combiners include phase shifting combiners (Columns 3 and 4, lines 53-68 and 1-2 respectively; Figure 1, #22a and #22b).
- 8. With respect to claims 5, 11, 17, 23 and 30, Mehdizadeh discloses said degenerate birdcage coil is configured as a phased array by combining said emitted RF energy at each of said plurality of resonance modes (Columns 3 and 4, lines 53-68 and 1-18 respectively).
- 9. With respect to claim 13, Mehdizadeh discloses the claimed limitations as stated above in paragraph 4 an additionally discloses a computer (as seen on Figure 1) a magnet assembly for generating a polarizing magnetic field (Figure 1, #10); a gradient coil assembly for applying

Art Unit: 2859

gradient waveforms to said polarizing magnetic field along selected gradient axes (Figure 1, #16) and a radio frequency (RF) transceiver system for applying RF energy to excite nuclear spins of an object to be imaged, and for thereafter detecting signals generated by excited nuclei of said object to be imaged (Figures 1 and 2; Abstract).

10. With respect to claim 27, Mehdizadeh discloses the step of configuring a 180 ° phase shifting splitter (Column 6, lines 6-9) and a pair of 90 ° phase shifting splitters for sinusoidally applying said excitation RF energy to one or more of said rungs of the degenerate birdcage resonator (Columns 3 and 4, lines 66-68 and 1-2 respectively).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 6, 12, 18, 24 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehdizadeh et al. (US 5,144,240). in view of Harvey (US 6,522,140).

With respect to claims 6, 12, 18, 24 and 31, Mehdizadeh discloses the claimed invention as stated in paragraph 4 above except for each of said plurality of resonance modes is used for sensitivity encoding (SENSE). However, Harvey discloses the use of the SENSE method for each of said plurality of resonance modes (Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Harvey known SENSE method in Mehdizadeh's NMR resonator in the NMR system for the purpose of enabling the

Application/Control Number: 10/063,473

Art Unit: 2859

image acquisition to be performed with a reduced FOV (field of view); parallel, multi-channel detection in short as possible acquisition time.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional prior art cited in the PTO 892 discloses birdcage resonators for using in NMR systems that includes phase splitters.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dixomara Vargas whose telephone number is (703) 305-5705. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am. to 4:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (703) 308-3875. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0956.

Dixomara Vargas

Art Unit 2859

May 17, 2003

Diego Gutierrez

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800